## SWOT Analysis

Equalizing Parking Costs through Academic Incentive

strengths	weaknesses
-Encourages students to commit more to	-Doesn't help students with cash flow issues
school work	-Punishes students for having different
-Allows students freedom to park anywhere	learning styles
-Students can better control what they pay	-Ignores contribution to the school/culture
-Could possibly decongest parking lots	-Punishes students with tougher classes/work
-Eases burden on students whose classes take	schedules
place in popular parts of campus	-Could negatively affect public
-Reduces cost of parking near popular parts	transportation
of campus (especially when not actually using	-Cost disparities could be even higher than
those facilities, ie the library)	they already are
-Encourages personal finance management	-Affects revenue for maintaining
with another variable	infrastructure
-Distributes parking more equally	-Would require study of current parking
throughout campus	distribution to make sure it's viable
opportunities	threats
-Generate publicity	-Students could have principled objection
-Cause an overhaul on the grading system to	and could take to social media
be more fair towards different types of	-Enrollment in advanced electives could dro
learners	-Higher maintenance costs for popular areas
-Streamline parking/transportation across	of campus
campus	-Rise in academic dishonesty
-Drive traffic towards specific parts of	-Socio-economic implications for those from
campus to generate more interest	underpriveleged areas
-Create lots as an incentive	-Reduced use of public transportation
-Direct marketing opportunities to generate	-Current layout of parking structures may
revenue	not be able to support the new system
	-Semester enrollment being lower could
-Reduced cost of toll booth operation	-semester enronment being lower could
-Reduced cost of toll booth operation -Tie parking to student accounts/eliminate	affect revenue drastically (especially in